

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/448,253	11/24/1999	ALAN EDWARD BELL	AM9-99-0122	4831
7	7590 01/15/2003			
JOHN L ROGITZ			EXAMINER	
ROGITZ & ASSOICATES 750 B STREET			SHERR, CRISTINA O	
SUITE 3120 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3621	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>			SK
ŧì		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del> </del>
Office Action Summary		09/448,253	LOTSPIECH ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Cristina O Sherr	3621	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	SS
	or Reply			
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fai - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuty reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing had patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a soly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this common that the mail that the	unication.
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)□		his action is non-final.		
3)	, <del>_</del>	ance except for formal m		ierits is
Disposi	tion of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1909 C	7.D. 11, 400 O.O. 210.	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 and 13-24 is/are pending in the	application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-12 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8,13-24</u> is/are rejected.			
7)[	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
· ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
а	)			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in	Application No	
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		ge
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•		plication)
·	a)   The translation of the foreign language pr	ovisional application has	been received.	<b></b>
Attachme	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	nic priority under 35 U.S.C	5. 99 120 and/or 121.	
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-692) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) imation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (P10-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/448,253

Art Unit: 3621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 9-12 have been canceled. Claims 1-8 and 13-24 remain pending in this action.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 13-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryan (US 5,513,260A) in view of Pham et al (US 5,513,260A) further in view of Lane et al (US 5,258,983A).
- 5. Ryan discloses a computer-based system for inhibiting unauthorized recording of digitized music, comprising a cryptography module including logic executable by a provider computer, the logic including, for at least a segment of the music, obtaining an authorized digital signature, and then associating the authorized digital signature with the music; and a consumer module associated with a consumer music player and executable thereby to undertake logic including processing at least the segment of the

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/448,253

Art Unit: 3621

music to obtain a test digital signature, and, only if the test digital signature matches the authorized digital signature, permitting recording the music, on the consumer music player (Col 2 In 15 – col 4 In 22);

wherein the cryptography module derives authorized digital signatures for respective plural segments of the music, and associates the authorized digital signatures with the music (Col 2 In 15 – col 4 In 22);

wherein the consumer module determines test digital signatures for respective plural segments of the music, the consumer module preventing at least one of: compression of the music, and recording of the music, unless a predetermined relationship exists between test digital signatures and the authorized digital signatures (Col 2 In 15 – col 4 In 22).

6. Ryan does not, however, disclose the system of Claim 1, above, wherein the music is stored in a data stream on a disk, and the authorized digital signature is associated with the music by storing the authorized digital signature on the disk, apart from the stream (Pham Col 3 In 20 – 52);

wherein the music is stored in a data stream on a disk, and the authorized digital signature is associated with the music by storing the authorized digital signature on the disk as part of the stream (Pham Col 3 In 20 – 52);

wherein the authorized digital signature is tagged with a signature date, and the consumer module processes the music using a 3 current key or an expired key having a key date equal to or later than the signature date (Pham Col 3 In 20 – 52). Pham, however, does, as noted above.

Art Unit: 3621

7. Further neither Ryan nor Pham disclose the preventing compression of data such as music in the absence of an authorized signature (Lane, Col 2 In 8 – col 6 In 36). Lane, however, does, as noted above.

- 8. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Ryan, Lane and Pham in order to obtain greater security in the distribution of music while preserving data integrity.
- 9. Claims 7 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryan (US 5,513,260A) in view of Pham et al (US 5,513,260A) and further in view of Lane et al (US 5,258,983A).
- 10. Ryan discloses a computer program storage device including a program of instructions for determining whether a request to record digitized music should be honored, the program of instructions including computer readable code means for processing at least one segment of the music to obtain a test digital signature; computer readable code means for receiving an authorized digital signature associated with the music; and computer readable code means for permitting recording of the music only if at least the test digital signature matches the authorized digital signature (Col 2 In 15 col 4 In 22).
- 11. Ryan does not, however, disclose the device of Claim 7, above, further comprising computer readable code means for determining test digital signatures for respective plural segments of the music; and computer readable code means for preventing recording of the music, unless a predetermined number or percentage of

Art Unit: 3621

matches exist between test digital signatures and authorized digital signatures date (Pham Col 3 In 20 – 52). Pham, however, does, as noted above.

- 12. Further neither Ryan nor Pham disclose the preventing compression of data such as music in the absence of an authorized signature (Lane, Col 2 In 8 col 6 In 36). Lane, however, does, as noted above.
- 13. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Ryan, Lane and Pham in order to obtain greater security in the distribution of music while preserving data integrity.
- 14. Claims 13 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryan (US 5,513,260A) in view of Pham et al (US 5,513,260A) further in view of Lane et al (US 5,258,983A).
- 15. Ryan discloses a method for facilitating the storage, on a personal music player, of digitized music received on a disk in an authorized transaction, comprising the acts of recording the music on the disk along with at least one authorized digital signature derived from the music (Col 2 In 15 col 4 In 22).
- 16. Ryan does not, however, disclose the method of claim 13, above, further comprising the acts of delivering plural authorized digital signatures from respective segments of the music and recording the plural authorized signatures on the disk (Pham Col 3 In 20 52);
- 17. Further comprising the acts of deriving plural test digital signatures from plural segments of the music and undertaking the recording act only if a predetermined

Application/Control Number: 09/448,253

Art Unit: 3621

number of matches exist between test digital signatures and authorized digital signatures (Pham Col 3 In 20 – 52);

wherein the authorized digital signature is stored on the disk apart from the music (Pham Col 3 In 20 - 52);

wherein the authorized digital signature is stored on the disk in the music (Pham Col 3  $\ln 20 - 52$ );

wherein the authorized digital signature is tagged with a signature date and the deriving act includes the processing the music using a current key or an expired key having a key date equal to or later than the signature date (Pham Col 3 In 20 – 52). Pham, however, does, as noted above.

- 18. Further neither Ryan nor Pham disclose the preventing compression of data such as music in the absence of an authorized signature (Lane, Col 2 In 8 col 6 In 36). Lane, however, does, as noted above.
- 19. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Ryan, Lane and Pham in order to obtain greater security in the distribution of music while preserving data integrity.
- 20. Claims 21 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryan (US 5,513,260A) in view of Pham et al (US 5,513,260A) further in view of Lane et al (US 5,258,983A).
- 21. Ryan discloses a computer program storage device including a program of instructions for determining whether a request to record digitized music should be honored, the program of instructions undertaking method acts comprising: processing at

Page 6

Art Unit: 3621

least one segment of the music to obtain a test digital signature; receiving an authorized digital signature associated with the music; and permitting recording of the music only if at least the test digital signature matches the authorized digital signature (Col 2 In 15 – col 4 In 22).

- 22. Ryan does not, however, disclose the device of Claim 21, above, wherein the method acts further comprise determining test digital signatures for respective plural segments of the music; and preventing at least one of: compression of the music, and recording of the music, unless a predetermined number or percentage of matches exist between test digital signatures and authorized digital signatures (Pham Col 3 In 20 52). Pham, however, does, as noted above.
- 23. Further neither Ryan nor Pham disclose the preventing compression of data such as music in the absence of an authorized signature (Lane, Col 2 ln 8 col 6 ln 36). Lane, however, does, as noted above.
- 24. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Ryan, Lane and Pham in order to obtain greater security in the distribution of music while preserving data integrity.
- 25. Claims 23 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryan (US 5,513,260A) in view of Pham et al (US 5,513,260A) further in view of Lane et al (US 5,258,983A).
- 26. Ryan discloses a method for facilitating the storage, on a personal music player, of digitized music received on a disk in an authorized transaction, comprising the acts of engaging the disk with a personal music player compression device; deriving at least

Art Unit: 3621

one test digital signature from the music; and recording the music on the personal music player only if the at least one test digital signature matches at least one digital signature received on the disk (Col 2 In 15 – col 4 In 22).

- 27. Ryan does not, however, disclose the method of Claim 23, above, further comprising the acts of deriving plural authorized test digital signatures from respective segments of the music; and comparing the test digital signatures with authorized digital signatures on the disk (Pham Col 3 In 20 52). Pham, however, does, as noted above.
- 28. Further neither Ryan nor Pham disclose the preventing compression of data such as music in the absence of an authorized signature (Lane, Col 2 In 8 col 6 In 36). Lane, however, does, as noted above.
- 29. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Ryan, Lane and Pham in order to obtain greater security in the distribution of music while preserving data integrity.
- 30. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

  Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Art Unit: 3621

#### Conclusion

31. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 32. Cotugno et al (US 6,353,887B1) teaches a digital signaturing method and system for re-creating specialized native files out of a container imported from an open network or residing on a CD-Rom.
- 33. Mueller et al (US 6,188,659B1) teaches a method for insuring uniqueness of an original CD.
- 34. Copeland et al (US 5,659,613A) teaches a method and apparatus for copy protection for various recording media using a video fingerprint.
- 35. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina O Sherr whose telephone number is 703-305-0625. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 to 5:00.
- 36. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703-305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.
- 37. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/448,253

Art Unit: 3621

JAMES P. TRAMMELL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600